

REMARKS

The Official Action of October 17, 2005, and the prior art cited and relied upon therein have been carefully studied. The claims in the application are now claims 1-12 and 14-18, and these claims define patentable subject matter warranting their allowance. Favorable reconsideration and such allowance are respectfully urged.

Claim 13 has been canceled and new claims 14-18 added. Claims 1-12 and 14-18 remain in the application for consideration.

In response to the Examiner's objection under 37 C.F.R. §1.75(c), Applicant has amended claim 10 to eliminate the multiple dependency of the claim. Applicant respectfully submits that this objection has now been overcome.

The Examiner has further rejected claims 1-6, 8 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nightingale in view of Glodin, and claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nightingale in view of Glodin further in view of Herber. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections as applied to the claims as amended and new claims 14-18.

The primary inventive feature of the first embodiment of the claimed invention resides in a cage 7 with the rollers 5 being engaged together as a unitary molding in a

mold by a solid lubricant which lubricates the rollers when running through raceways 23, 24. The raceways 23, 24 form a circular cylindrical race which facilitates a sliding engagement or disengagement of the unitary molding in or from the assembled race so as to provide for easy replacement of worn rollers.

A second embodiment (Figs. 7-10) is directed to a unitary molding comprising an outer ring having outer raceway 24, cage 7 and rollers 5 engaged together in a mold by a solid lubricate and thereafter slidably engaged or disengaged from an inside rotating member which together with the unitary molding form the claimed bearing.

Nightingale is merely directed to rollers 18 engaged between an inner and outer raceway. No cage or solid lubricate is involved.

Glodin teaches an inner and outer raceway 2,3 forming a race and rollers 5 engaged in an antifriction material 1 with a retainer 4 wherein the roller 5, antifriction material 1 and retainer 4 are not formed as a separate unitary molding which can be engaged or disengaged from the assembled race 2,3 as claimed by Applicant. Clearly, Glodin does not teach a circular cylindrical race which would enable disengaging the roller 5, material 1 and retainer 4

Appln. No. 10/786,287
Amtd. dated January 17, 2006
Reply to Office Action of October 17, 2005

from race 2,3 as a separate unit in the manner claimed by
Applicant.


Applicant respectfully submits that the cited combination clearly does not teach the structural features claimed which enable the easy replacement of worn rollers as in the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicant submits that claims 1-12 and 14-18 are allowable as they patentably define over the cited prior art.

The prior art documents made of record and not relied upon have been noted along with the implication that such documents are deemed by the PTO to be insufficiently pertinent to warrant their applications against any of applicant's claims.

Favorable reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By 
Norman J. Latker
Registration No. 19,963

NJL:ma
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\BN\O\Onak\Kato28\pto\Amendment-A.doc